PRACTICAL STEPS FOR APPLYING ACUITY-BASED STAFFING: What Nurse Leaders Need to Know
For more than 15 years, I’ve been advocating for healthcare leaders to replace the term “opinion-based” with “evidence-based.” Too often, I’ve heard, “In my opinion, we should do the following.” Whether the speaker is referring to leadership or clinical practice, we hear “In my opinion” far too often.

When discussing staffing and staffing systems, we need to focus on “evidence-based” instead of “in my opinion.” Multiple well-designed research studies provide evidence that patient assignments should be based on patient acuity rather than simply the total number of patients.

Why acuity-base staffing?
The definition of acuity includes words like insight, keen, sharp, and alert. The complexity of patient care calls for staffing systems defined by those very words—systems created to support new ways to align nursing talent with patient and family needs. Traditional staffing methods based on the midnight census are quickly becoming obsolete. Those systems falsely assume all patients are average and all nurses are similar in terms of competency and talent.

Staffing isn’t typically associated with the root cause of healthcare challenges, such as razor-thin profit margins, high staff and leader turnover, and low patient satisfaction levels. But long-term success for managing these issues hinges on appropriate staffing and avoiding nurse-patient assignment inequity. High turnover at every level and low patient satisfaction are well-established markers for financial disaster, whether in health care or any other industry. One of the drivers for both turnover and satisfaction levels is frontline staffing. And no healthcare segment is exempt from experiencing this reality, whether it’s acute care, long-term care, ambulatory care, or any other setting.

Why now?
Systems used to organize and measure nursing services haven’t changed much over 60+ years. Most of them use volume-based, reimbursement-driven methods to allot staff for care. They don’t consider variations in physical layout of the care environment, nursing competency and skill levels, or fluctuations in intensity of patient care needed.

In addition, nursing care is invisible, and good nursing care is hard to measure. It’s often thought of simply as bad things not happening. These days, what’s measured are sentinel events and unintended incidents.

The good news
Fortunately, published research, implementation of evidence-based practices, and guidance from credible experts are guiding the paths to change. Assigning nurses to patients based on ever-changing care demands is becoming easier, thanks to advances in electronic automated systems, outcomes analysis, and the ability to measure nursing care value. Nursing business intelligence is being informed by big data and a greater understanding of the individual nurse-patient encounter.

I’m excited to see the advent of performance-based nursing care that better supports what patients need, when they need it. Such care is shaped by new evidence and real-time electronic technology, intersecting at a time when these things are urgently needed. Creating the platform for ensuring that the best nursing care is provided in the best care setting at the lowest cost can’t happen soon enough. When it does, “average” will be used only as a math term, not a staffing method. And every patient, every family, every patient, and every nurse will win.
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Practical steps for applying acuity-based staffing
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Evidence shows acuity-based staffing provides consistent, high-quality care while managing costs.

In the healthcare arena, change happens at a rapid pace. Healthcare leaders face the continual challenge of delivering high-quality patient care while managing costs. A growing body of evidence shows that patient acuity-driven staffing is an effective way to optimize nurse staffing to improve patient outcomes and promote clinical and organizational excellence.

How do we turn that evidence into a transformative reality? On July 14, 2016, American Nurse Today and GE Healthcare cohosted a webinar that addressed that question. Four nurse leaders—all of them pioneers in acuity-based staffing research and implementation—presented a strong case detailing why acuity-based staffing is imperative, not just for patients and nurses but also for healthcare organizations as a whole. They offered practical guidelines on how nurses can foster change, both across the profession and within their local organizations.

Why should we examine the benefits of acuity-based staffing?

Currently, 14 states have legislation regarding nurse staffing in hospitals; some address nurse-patient ratios, while others require various levels of reporting and accountability. This legislative momentum will likely continue, creating a legal impetus for healthcare organizations around the country to begin implementing more comprehensive staffing systems based on acuity. Acuity-based staffing is linked to a host of benefits, making adoption of data-driven acuity systems all the more compelling.

Positive clinical and operational outcomes linked to acuity-based staffing include decreases in mortality, adverse outcomes, and lengths of stay. “Acuity-based systems maximize patient and nursing outcomes through enhanced decision making, improved operational outcomes, and improved nurse and patient satisfaction—all while boosting financial performance through lower cost,” said Lillee Gelinas, MSN, RN, FAAN, webinar moderator and editor-in-chief of American Nurse Today.

Sophisticated acuity-based staffing systems can extract data pertaining to individual nurses caring for patients with variable needs, allowing a transparent examination of cost, quality, and performance. The data can then be integrated for better clinical and operational outcomes. According to Karlene M. Kerfoot, PhD, RN, NEA-BC, FAAN, chief nursing officer at GE Healthcare Workforce Management Solutions.
officer at GE Healthcare, “If we don’t recognize the variability in patient care…and provide the kinds of resources that can match a patient’s needs to an RN’s competencies—and do it within a healthy environment—we won’t be able to get the kinds of outcomes we desperately need to improve our healthcare system.”

**Acuity-based staffing and nursing hours**

Determining how to measure nursing care has been a persistent challenge for our profession. Often, nursing is seen as a cost center, not a core service. Healthcare organizations are reimbursed for medical care based on a diagnosis or procedure that allows accurate calculation of how many nursing hours are needed in a given situation.

John Welton, PhD, RN, FAAN, professor at the University of Colorado College of Nursing and senior scientist for Health Systems Research, shared data he presented at the 46th annual American Organization of Nurse Executives Conference, along with findings that show the calculation of direct-care hours and the cost of those hours for each patient on a medical-surgical floor. Patients who stayed 1 day had a much higher average of care need (in mean hours) than those who stayed 2, 3, or 4 days. Also, patients who stayed more than 3 weeks required more care on average. Although these patients made up only 20% of the patient population, they required 50.4% of all available nursing care hours and dollars. Additionally, patients aged 65 and older (the Medicare population) required 30 to 45 minutes more nursing care per day.

**Acuity-based staffing and mortality**

Jack Needleman, PhD, FAAN, professor and chair of the Department of Health Policy and Management at UCLA’s Fielding School of Public Health, presented findings from a 2011 article he coauthored, which reported results based on data collected from a large academic medical center that implemented a patient-acuity staffing system. The analysis showed a substantial increase in mortality during nursing shifts that fell 8 hours or more below target staffing levels—essentially one nurse short. When the researchers looked at patient turnover separately, they found patient mortality increased when staffing wasn’t adjusted for higher turnover rates.

Acuity-based staffing is linked to decreased adverse events, including falls, infections, and pressure ulcers. A study by Papas et al. of a transplant unit identified patient risk factors that indicate a higher acuity level. A patient score of 4 or higher indicated the need for a lower nurse-to-patient ratio assignment to accommodate increased nursing time or intensity. These risk factors include:

- organ transplant (kidney, liver, pancreas, or a combination) received on current admission (score of 2)
- hepatic failure (score of 2)
- gynecologic surgical postoperative patient during the first 12 to 24 hours (score of 2)
- high fall risk and age older than 78 (score of 2)
- transplant patient in isolation (score of 1)
- transplant patient readmission (score of 1).

The researchers also measured four nurse-sensitive indicators and compared them to a national database of similar inpatient units. The indicators included falls incidence, catheter-associated urinary tract infections, central line–associated bloodstream infections, and...
pressure-ulcer prevalence. Rates for all four indicators decreased after staffing was adjusted to account for higher-acuity patients. Study findings also showed decreased overtime hours and reduced costs per case. Clinical nurses attributed decreased overtime to having adequate time during the shift to complete their work.

Making the business case for acuity-based staffing

Needleman emphasized that the cumulative effects of the benefits of avoided hospital days, avoided adverse outcomes, and avoided deaths make a powerful business case for acuity-based staffing systems. He cited data from a classic analysis that found such systems bring significant financial advantages to organizations. (See Business case analysis of acuity-based staffing).

In a healthcare environment where payment structures let hospitals retain savings gained by maximizing cost efficiencies while meeting quality standards, nurse leaders can help executives understand the advantages of acuity staffing to the organization’s bottom line.

Criteria for evaluating an acuity-based staffing system

An important factor in deciding which acuity-based staffing system to adopt is how much time and effort implementation will require. Optimally, the system should carry a minimal additional workload requirement.

As a vice president and system chief nursing officer, Sylvain Trepanier, DNP, RN, CENP, helped lead adoption of an acuity-based staffing system at Premier Health, a not-for-profit multihospital system in Southern Ohio with 14,000 employees and more than $1.6 billion in revenue. One of his key aims was to find a system that fit
seamlessly into the organization’s workflow—one in which “we could leverage what’s in our electronic health record [EHR] as it relates to nursing documentation and treatment orders, patient placement, medication administration, and all of the activities documented in the health record that show what’s going on with the patient, up to and including transfers, admission, and discharge.” Premier Health was able to find a user-friendly commercial system that incorporated timely, actionable data—something that could be acted upon on an hourly basis.

The staffing system should be built on a foundation of expert nursing judgment and should reflect the nursing work. “Nurses understand their care better than anybody else,” Needleman explained.

**Indicators that affect staffing**

Another factor to consider when choosing an acuity-based staffing system is to ensure that the indicators measure patient complexity and required nursing care. To evaluate this, leaders need to consider several variables identified in the literature when determining adequate staffing. These variables fall into three major categories: patient needs, nurse characteristics, and unit and organizational factors.

**Patient needs**

To a large extent, patient variables naturally drive staffing needs. Emphasis should be placed not just on disease or status but also on outside factors that influence acuity—patient complexity, length of stay, functional status, activities of daily living, need for transport, and age. All of these play a role in determining the patient’s nursing care needs. Several additional items also affect nursing workload intensity. (See Patient-related factors affecting nursing workload.)

**Nurse characteristics**

Nursing staff characteristics must be taken into account. A particular nurse’s training, education, and skills should be matched with the needs of a particular patient.

**Unit and organizational factors**

These factors may include workflow processes, documentation expectations for nursing staff, physical layout of the floor, and existing support, including nursing assistance and stocking of supplies.

**Commercial vs. local systems**

A key decision organizations face when choosing an acuity-based staffing system is whether to use a commercial system, as Premier Health did, or develop one locally. Both options have advantages and drawbacks.

The biggest advantage of commercial systems is that they offer an already developed algorithm that potentially can be tailored to the local nursing model. Commercial systems typically include modules that allow direct tracking of actual vs. target indicators to see how those indicators affect patient processes.

However, many commercial systems have a high data-entry burden, although this can be mitigated by linkage to the EHR (a feature vendors increasingly are working to accommodate). Also, these systems typically focus on patient need and don’t take into account patient turnover and the asso-

**Patient-related factors affecting nursing workload**

The factors defined below play a role in determining a nurse’s workload intensity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medications</td>
<td>The number of medications a patient receives during a 12-hour nursing shift that must be verified against a medical doctor’s order and based on standards of medication delivery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complicated procedures</td>
<td>Task- and time-oriented procedures carried out to perform competent patient care in management of disease process and prevention of complications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>Requirements for complex patient care encompassing teaching about disease processes, procedures, preventive measures, and standard facility protocols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychosocial</td>
<td>Nursing tasks related to monitoring and intervention correlating with mental disabilities, end-of-life care, and palliative care, and including personal or family dynamics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complicated I.V. medications</td>
<td>Task- and time-oriented distribution and monitoring of I.V. medications, blood or blood products, or hemodynamic monitoring of vascular access</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

associated increase in nursing workload intensity.

Local systems, on the other hand, can be adjusted for local patient variation on specific units or adapted to incorporate variability the nursing staff considers relevant—for instance, patient turnover. But they also can impose a data-entry burden if no linkage exists to track data entry and storage, which means data must be collected by hand.

During rollout of a new acuity-based staffing system, Trepanier emphasized, shared governance and having significant support in place are crucial for making the process as seamless as possible. He recommended that those responsible for implementing the system identify and address resistance to change early and incorporate the system slowly from one service area to the next rather than taking a “big bang” approach.

**Call to action**

Optimal staffing is linked to clinical and organizational excellence. Rigorous evidence is emerging to support acuity-based staffing as a way to provide consistent, high-quality care while managing financial burden. Nationally, legislation mandating acuity-based staffing is increasing, and union contracts are starting to consider the role of acuity-based staffing as well. As a result, healthcare organizations will likely have difficulty ignoring the move away from census- or opinion-based staffing toward data-driven acuity-based systems.

The onus now lies on nursing leadership, with many opportunities to help push for change. Externally, nurses can commit to supporting research surrounding acuity-driven staffing and disseminating that work through presentations and publications. They can support professional nursing organizations that are spearheading change. For instance, the American Nurses Association is developing white papers to help educate nurses and support the movement.

Internally, nurse leaders need to support a move away from opinion-based acuity staffing toward data-driven acuity-based staffing. This will require educating teams, management, and chief executives about the potential for data-driven staffing to improve patient care and control cost. “Historically, many have been suspicious of acuity-driven staffing,” said Kerfoot, “because it has been opinion based. But that’s not the case now. We have to help people understand this isn’t the acuity system of 20 years ago. Today’s data-driven systems make an incredible difference.”

Kerfoot urges nurses, particularly nurse leaders, to advocate for data-driven acuity-based staffing technology and to empower nursing to leverage the EHR investment and use robust data that will bring a significant return on investment. Nurse leaders must stay well informed to ensure that a nursing voice is already at the table when workforce staffing technology decisions are being considered.

Trepanier pointed out, “If we don’t have the data to demonstrate the hard work—the practice and influence we make in light of those we serve—we won’t be able to appropriately demonstrate our value contribution.”

Meaghan O’Keeffe is a freelance healthcare writer and clinical editor based in Framingham, Massachusetts.
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Healthcare Executives are making the connection between workforce management strategies and quality of care. However, survey results showed that only 40% of respondents are using technology to enable acuity-based staffing.¹

Turn Workforce Data Into Better Outcomes
Learn more about how an integrated, data-driven staffing and scheduling practice can impact the care delivery at your organization. Visit gehealthcare.com/WorkforceManagement or call 262-670-2828.

¹ Becker’s Healthcare survey “Aligning Organizational Goals with Workforce Management Initiatives” conducted May/June, 2015.